

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/854,190		05/11/2001	Tomoo Fujioka	Y-183	Y-183 7211	
802	7590	01/06/2005		EXAMINER		
DELLETT		ALTERS	NGUYEN, TUÁN N			
P. O. BOX 2786 PORTLAND, OR 97208-2786				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2828		
				DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/854,190	FUJIOKA ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Tuan N Nguyen	2828						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 27 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecauśe:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:								
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment					
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecutions	sidered but does No	OT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	`					
10. Other:			7					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 5. because claims 1-6 are obviousness-type double patenting with case 09/854 421, claims 1-11 that have been allowed.

With respect to the Applicant's argument, the examiner believe that Zajdman et al. US 5099492 shows the spacers (figure 4: 4,5,6,16) a required by the claims 1 and 4 that teach or suggest a center offset. The reference '492 shows (Fig 4: 1,2) " a pair of cylindrical electrodes ... disposed concentrically by way of spacers". and "... a pair of cylindrical electrodes... disposed concentrically by way of spacers" (Fig 4: 1,2, 4-6, 16). Zajdman et al. '492 also shows the mirror giving focal point and offset, wherein output beam from mirror has Gaussian distribution (Fig 4: 17; Fig 5: a-c)